Freezing conformation of polychloronitrophenyl *N*-substituted morpholines studied by DNMR and X-ray crystal structure

Xinzhuo Zoua*, Hiro Kumab, Toshikazu Ibatab and Hiroyuki Sugac

^aDepartment of Chemistry, East China Normal University, 3663 Zhongshan Road(N), Shanghai, 200062, China

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560 Japan ^cDepartment of Material Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Shinsyu University, Wakasaato, Nagano 380-8553, Japan

The acceleration of SNAr reaction of aromatic halides has been studied with various amines under high pressure. Compounds having high steric hindrance as 2-morpholino-substituted polychloro-1-nitrobenzenes were obtained. These showed different behaviours of methylene protons of morphlino ring at room temperature apparently related to the higher steric hindrance. So these compounds were investigated using dynamic ¹H NMR and single crystal X-ray crystallography.

Keywords: conformation of polychloronitrophenyl N-substituted morpholines

The authors have obtained a series of title compounds by high pressure reactions.¹ Measurement of ¹H NMR spectra of these compounds at room temperature showed the interesting behaviour of the methylene protons of the morpholino ring. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2-morpholino-3,4,5,6-tetrachloro-1-nitrobenzene 1 shows broadening of signals from the protons on the ring below about 58 °C, (2-morpholino-3,5,6-trichloro-1-nitrobenzene 2, 48 °C) and eventually splitting into multiplets of an AA'BB' spin system below $0 \degree C$ (2, $-10 \degree C$), but the signals gave no change on further cooling to -90 °C. A line shape matching of experimental and calculated spectra yields the barriers ΔG^{\neq} of **1** and 2 as 15.8 and 15.0 kcal/mol. The barriers for 2-morpholino-4,6-dichloro-1-nitrobenzene 3 and morpholine 4 are 7.7 and 10.3 kcal/mol. The thermal behaviour of this system may usually relate with the three possible processes, namely N-CAr bond rotation, ring inversion and nitrogen inversion. The nitrogen and ring inversion barriers are expected to be about 6 and 10 kcal/mol.^{3,4} So it is reasonable to conclude that the barriers measured for 1, 2 and 3 are due to N–CAr bond rotation. Because of the bulkiness of a nitro group, rotation of **3** is faster at a given temperature for a lower barrier (7.7 kcal/mol). However, the morpholino ring of 2 is placed between the nitro group and the chlorine atom like a sandwich and so has a large rotation barrier and the ring is frozen. The rotation barrier of 1 is increased 0.8 kcal/mol by an additional meta-chlorine atom.

The results of an X-ray diffraction study supported this conclusion. Compound **2** in the solid state has a very big steric hindrance leading to the plane through C6, C7, C8 and C9 of the morpholino group being almost perpendicular to the benzene ring with dihedral angle of 89.45° and the nitro group of 92.3° , respectively.

Crystal data for $2 - C_{10}H_9N_2O_3Cl_3$; Mr = 311.55, monoclinic: a = 17.515(2), b = 7.8246(5), c = 9.5859(5) Å, $\beta = 98.48(1)^\circ$, V=1229.3Å³, space group P2₁/a, Z = 4, dx = 1.59g cm⁻³, μ (Cu·K α), = 65.7 cm⁻³. The experimental data were collected on a Rigaku four-circle diffractometer, model AFC-5, with Nifiltered Cu·K α ($\lambda = 1.54184$ Å) radiation. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86), and refined by blockdiagonal least-squares techniques. The final R value was 4.1%.

We are grateful to Dr H. Nakayama, Dr T. Eguchi and Dr Q. Xu of Department of Chemistry and Dr M. Sawada and Dr Y. Takai of the Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research, Osaka University for their technical suggestion and

Fig. 4 ORTEP drawing of 2 with atomic numbering scheme.

valuable discussions on dynamic ¹H NMR measurement. We thank Professor Y. Fukazawa of Hiroshima University and Dr K. Okada of Faculty of Science, Osaka University for providing a computer program.

References: 9

Tables: 5

Figures: 4

Received 8 December 2000; accepted 1 June 2001 Paper 00/649

References

- T. Ibata and X.-Z. Zou, *High Pressure Res.*, 1992, **11**, 81;
 T. Ibata, X.-Z. Zou and T. Demura, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1993, **34**, 5613; *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1994, **67**, 196.
- 2 L. Lunazzi, D. Casarini and M. A. Cremonini, 1991, *Tetrahedron*, 47, 7465.
- 3 J.E. Anderson, A.I. Ijeh and C. Storch, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 3310.

J. Chem. Research (S), 2001, 265 J. Chem. Research (M), 2001, 0758–0769

^{*} To receive any correspondence.